

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/507,184	JOSEFSSON, PAER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amy B. Vanatta	3765	

All Participants:

(1) Amy B. Vanatta.

(2) Liane Churney.

Status of Application: Non-final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none specifically

Claims discussed:

none specifically

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

AV
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called applicant's representative to discuss changes to the claim language necessary to overcome a rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. It was agreed that the rejection will be put in writing and mailed (attached hereto) per applicant representative's request in order to permit consultation with foreign inventor.